

# JASS'05

## Information-Theoretic Cryptography

Hermann Gruber

TU München



# Information theory

- Counts among the foundations of computer science
- Pioneered by Claude Shannon
- Important for data compression, error-free transmission, and ...



# Information theory

- Counts among the foundations of computer science
- Pioneered by Claude Shannon
- Important for data compression, error-free transmission, and ...

Cryptography.



# Claude E. Shannon (1916-2001)

Most outstanding results of his work:

- brought Boolean algebra into circuit design
- introduced a mathematical theory of communication
- proved Nyquist's Sampling Theorem
- . . .
- Most important for us here:  
first rigorous analysis of cryptosystems

(source: <http://en.wikipedia.org>)



# Cryptosystems

Usually:

- Alfons and Boris secretly agree about a key  $k$
- Alfons encrypts  $T_k(m) = c$ , sends it to Boris
- Boris decrypts  $T_k^{-1}(c) = m$
- Ivan (the Terrible) intercepting  $c$ , tries to figure out  $m$  (or, worse,  $k$ )



In Shannon's view (Shannon 1949):

- Alfons is a statistical message source
- The key choice is a statistical information source, transmitted over a secure channel
- Ivan knows a priori probabilities for
  - $m$  (natural language)
  - and  $k$  (habits of key choice)
- after intercepting  $c$ : a posteriori probabilities for  $m$  and  $k$
- Ivan has unbounded time and computational power (!)
- Kerckhoffs' principle: (Kerckhoffs 1883, Shannon 1949)  
Ivan knows the encryption mechanism.

Can he gain statistical information about  $m$  from  $c$ ?



# Perfect Secrecy

**Definition.(Shannon 1949)**

**A cryptosystem with probability distributions on message space  $M$  and key space  $K$  is said to be perfectly secret, if for all ciphertext messages  $c$  and all messages  $m$  holds**

$$Pr[m | c] = Pr[m]$$



# Example: One-Time Pad

aka Vernam-Cipher (Gilbert Vernam, 1926, patented 1919)

- $M = K = C = \{0, 1\}^n$
- keys are chosen equiprobable
- encryption/decryption: bitwise modulo-2-addition of key and message
- key is only used once.

(Not that the key is as long as the message.) Can we prove that this system is perfectly secure?





## Toolbox.

Ivan intercepts  $c$  and wants to know a posteriori (conditional) distribution on  $M$ .

### Bayes' theorem.

If  $P[C = c] > 0$ , then

$$P[M = m \mid C = c] = \frac{P[C = c \mid M = m]P[M = m]}{P[C = c]}$$

$C$  and  $M$  are independent iff

$$P[M = m \mid C = c] = P[M = m].$$



## Toolbox (cont'd).

Set of possible keys for cipher  $c$ :

$$K(c) = \{k \in K \mid \exists m \in M : T_k(m) = c\}$$

Set of possible keys for  $m$  and  $c$ :

$$K(c, m) = \{k \in K \mid T_k(m) = c\}.$$



## Toolbox (cont'd).

Set of possible keys for cipher  $c$ :

$$K(c) = \{k \in K \mid \exists m \in M : T_k(m) = c\}$$

Set of possible keys for  $m$  and  $c$ :

$$K(c, m) = \{k \in K \mid T_k(m) = c\}.$$

Then

$$P[C = c] = \sum_{k \in K(c)} P[K = k] P[M = T_k^{-1}(c)]$$

and

$$P[C = c \mid M = m] = \sum_{k \in K(c, m)} P[K = k]$$



## Toolbox (cont'd).

Set of possible keys for cipher  $c$ :

$$K(c) = \{k \in K \mid \exists m \in M : T_k(m) = c\}$$

Set of possible keys for  $m$  and  $c$ :

$$K(c, m) = \{k \in K \mid T_k(m) = c\}.$$

Then

$$P[C = c] = \sum_{k \in K(c)} P[K = k] P[M = T_k^{-1}(c)]$$

and

$$P[C = c \mid M = m] = \sum_{k \in K(c, m)} P[K = k]$$

...into Bayes' formula  $\Rightarrow P[M = m \mid C = c]$ .



## Return to One-time Pad.

We have to show  $P[M = m \mid C = c] = P[M = m]$

For every  $k$ , we have  $P[K = k] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$ . So

$$P[C = c] = \frac{1}{2}^n \sum_{k \in K(c)} P[M = T_k^{-1}(c)]$$

## Return to One-time Pad.

We have to show  $P[M = m \mid C = c] = P[M = m]$

For every  $k$ , we have  $P[K = k] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$ . So

$$P[C = c] = \frac{1}{2}^n \sum_{k \in K(c)} P[M = T_k^{-1}(c)]$$

For every pair  $\langle m, c \rangle$ , there is a unique key  $K(c, m) = \{k\}$ , so

$$\sum_{k \in K(c)} P[M = T_k^{-1}(c)] = \sum_{m \in M} P[M = m] = 1$$



## Return to One-time Pad.

We have to show  $P[M = m \mid C = c] = P[M = m]$

For every  $k$ , we have  $P[K = k] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$ . So

$$P[C = c] = \frac{1}{2}^n \sum_{k \in K(c)} P[M = T_k^{-1}(c)]$$

For every pair  $\langle m, c \rangle$ , there is a unique key  $K(c, m) = \{k\}$ , so

$$\sum_{k \in K(c)} P[M = T_k^{-1}(c)] = \sum_{m \in M} P[M = m] = 1$$

... and  $P[C = c] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$ .



## Return to One-time Pad(cont'd).

Assume  $c = T_k(m)$ . Then

$$P[C = c \mid M = m] = P[K = k] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$$





## Return to One-time Pad(cont'd).

Assume  $c = T_k(m)$ . Then

$$P[C = c \mid M = m] = P[K = k] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$$

Putting these results into Bayes' formula yields

$$P[M = m \mid C = c] = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n P[M = m]}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n}$$



## Return to One-time Pad(cont'd).

Assume  $c = T_k(m)$ . Then

$$P[C = c \mid M = m] = P[K = k] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$$

Putting these results into Bayes' formula yields

$$P[M = m \mid C = c] = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n P[M = m]}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n}$$

and we are done.



# Characterization of Perfect Secrecy Systems.

With little more effort, one can show:

## Perfect Secrecy Theorem (Shannon 1949)

A cryptosystem provides perfect secrecy if and only if

- $|M| = |C| = |K|$
- every key is used with equal probability  $1/|K|$ ,
- and for every message-cipher-pair  $\langle m, c \rangle$ , there is a unique key  $k$  with  $c = T_k(m)$ .

(Proof can be found in Stinson 2002.)



# Consequences.

- Perfect Secrecy often impractical: key needs to be as long as the message

Ways around:

- use of pseudo-random generators for Vernam cipher (e.g. DES in OFB mode) (... but NO perfect secrecy!)
- different notion of secrecy: prove computational hardness of code breaking
- Vernam cipher nevertheless in use for critical missions (politics, military)



# More of Shannon's ideas

- What if we use the same key more than once?
- Analysis again due to Shannon, using entropy.
- entropy  $H(X)$  measures the average degree of uncertainty of a random variable  $X$ .



# Entropy

## Definition. Entropy.

Let  $X$  be a random variable taking values  $1, \dots, n$

$$H(X) = - \sum_{i=1}^n P[X = i] \log_2 P[X = i]$$



# Conditional Entropy and Key Equivocation.

Let  $Y$  be another random variables, taking values  $1, \dots, m$

The conditional entropy  $H(X | Y)$  is

$$H(X | Y) = \sum_{j=1}^m p(Y = j) H(X | Y = j)$$

Conditional entropy measures the average uncertainty about  $X$  given observations of the variable  $Y$ .



# Key Equivocation.

Using conditional entropy for cryptosystem analysis:

How much average uncertainty remains about the key remains provided we know the ciphertext?





# Key Equivocation.

Using conditional entropy for cryptosystem analysis:

How much average uncertainty remains about the key remains provided we know the ciphertext?

$H(K | C)$  is called the key equivocation.



## Key Equivocation (cont'd).

Shannon found that

$$H(K | C) = H(M) + H(K) - H(C)$$

In particular, for perfect secrecy systems, we have

$$H(K | C) = H(K).$$

That is, uncertainty about the key does not decrease with knowledge of the ciphertext. (Shannon 1949)



# Conclusion

What we have encountered:

- Vernam Cipher
- Perfect secrecy
- Drawbacks in perfect secrecy
- Tools for analyzing “imperfect” systems



# References/Further Reading

- Shannon, Claude E. : Communication Theory of Secrecy Systems, Bell System Technical Journal, vol.28-4, page 656-715, 1949
- Smart, Nigel; Lee, John Malone: Introduction to Cryptography (COMS30124), Lecture notes. Available online at [www.cs.bris.ac.uk](http://www.cs.bris.ac.uk)
- Stinson, Douglas R. : Cryptography. Theory and Practice. 2002

